1893-94.
(L.R.)

File No. 123 of 93
Serial No. 1-21.

Sub:- Appeal of Narasimha Reddy of Venkatapur regarding the sale of the Sy. No. 2B 3 of the Kalenahally Gowribidanur Taluk

6H

P.F 129 f 93

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1893-94

192

(L. R.)

File No 129 f 93

Serial Nos 1-21

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 - 21

Nejas ~~Part~~ B for July 1896.

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Nara simha Reddy of Tenkalapur regarding the sale of S. No. 2 and 3 of Kolamahally.

Countermark

Endorsed

~~Board~~

The Amildar replied that the petitioner was willing to take up the land at the above rate, that he had executed a mukhalka & pay ~~the assessment~~ and make valuation that on the Pancha[yat] the claim that the market value would be Rs. 10/- and that the Khatabook might be ~~corrected~~ rectified accordingly.

no. 440 dt. 20. 3. 92.

3 The Deputy Commissioner got the Khatabook rectified by the Survey Superintendent and forwarded it to the Amildar to be filed with the records

no 14 dt. 4. 6. 92.

4 The Amildar then directed the Sheristadar to make the patta of New number in the name of Lakkadevi Channabasappa, obtained it duly signed and to receive the market value of Rs 10-10 ^ from Channabasappa with Local Cess.

Patta no. 43 dt. 15. 6. 92

5— The Sheristadar replied that he required the Khatabook to make the necessary entries in his account

early enquiry and report with the records on the following points:—

7. 9. 92.

1st. Whether the land was set apart for pasture at the Settlement, if not what was the order at the Settlement?

2nd. When was it assessed; how was it disposed of; by sale or otherwise?

3rd. Whether the land has already been put in possession of Narasimhareddy

4th. Who conformed the sale; what was the amount realized; what walks there was?

5th. Is there any objection for the sale being cancelled and the land given out to all the ryots upon the same assessment?"

Narasimhareddy deposed before the Amildar as follows:—

Kolmahalli is a Beccharak village The villagers of Venkatapura have no right over the land. The land was not set apart as Gomal for the village of Venkatapura.

We

the land used to be sold every year
for Anicutcanni for annas 10 or 11.
Since the village is Bacharak, I think
of building houses and improve the
village.

9. The villagers of Venkatapura
stated before the Amildar that they
had been using the land for pasture
since the Survey Settlement, that
their cattle would suffer if the
lands were to be given away to
Narasimhaiah, that the two
numbers could not be used for
cultivation, that the land was fit
for grazing purposes only, that
they were ready to buy the land
for Rs 200/- and that if the land
were included in the Government
Forest, they were ready to pay four
annas for each head of cattle
~~that~~ would graze thereon

10 The Shekdar also reported that

and forwarded to C-in-C received
from Karaninivelly for receipt
in the Treasury ——— 30-6-22

The villagers of Kunkathuruthu and
Kunnagundu Adheen Levedi
petitioned both the Deputy Commissioner
and the Sub Division officer,
complaining that the land given
out for cultivation was liquidated
for a long time, even after the Janmy
Settlement was made by the Karaindars
villagers for grazing their cattle, that
the land was not assessed due during
the Settlement, that Karaimi Karuthy
took up the said relief & prevented
the villagers from using the land for
grazing, that the land used to be sold
every year for Kulthanam and
that the said value received was
a trifling sum as there was many
leases on the land.

6. The Sub Division officer referred
the petition of the Amildar for an
early

(9)

Shekdar report 8.6.8.92.

that the villagers of Kandatapura and adamayanahalli ~~grazed~~ pastured & graze their cattle there.

11 The Amildar report that the Division officer as follows -

" On the application of Channabasavaraddy for 2 acres 2 cuds the Survey Superintendent assessed the land at four annas four rees. The Patadar executed by the Dhekdar states that the land is worth Rs 40 per acre. The above amount with the local cess was received and credited in the Treasury on 12th Jan 1892. But the Survey account it is stated that the land is to be sold for Rs 40 per acre. The land was not sold but was given away to Channabasavaraddy after the assessment was fixed by the Survey Superintendent. The Patadar bought-favored by the villagers

12-5-93
Miller No 759

Seen

Seems to me there is the ill-feeling existing between them and Narayanta- rao by. When I had gone there on Jamabandi I told the parties to settle the matter amicably, as I was ordered do by the Deputy Commissioner. There are no valuable trees on the land - the only value may be fixed as per Govt. Rec. no 11787-95 R. 7.38-92. dated 3rd January 1893. the land may be put up to public auction. While the Villagers state that they would bid for it - and upwards - It is not my desire that the land should be given away to the present Darakhastdar The very petitions preferred by the Villagers show the ill-feeling they bear towards the Darakhastdar.

vide Dy. Com's endorsement on a petition by the Villagers S. R. 3-93.

12 The Sub-division officer ordered —

" The order issued by this office does not state that the land should be put in possession of the Darakhastdar

Dharkhastdar after collecting the
Market value but simply requires whether
the Dharkhastdar is willing to take up
the land at the assessment fixed by
the Superintendent and to pay the
Market value &c. According to the
existing rules the land should have
been put up to public auction,
since there were bids on the land.
It should be informed when the land
was put in possession of the Dharkhast
and the Mamlatdar to attached to
that effect forwarded to him" —

Mbr no. 817
21-5-93.

13 The Admiddar replied as follows:-

28. 5. 93.
Mbr No 782

"Since no question of sale was
raised in the order and since there was
no Citizens about the Market value
before the complaint from the Millers
was received &c. &c. a clear was
received from the Dharkhastdar
The price of the land was made
in the name of the Dharkhastdar
no complaints were ~~received from~~ since
the Millers. The ~~Petitioner Dharkhastdar~~
has

has petitioned to partition of the land on the Reserve that the land was his own; since he had executed Succession Matters time to the land at the assessment fixed by the Superintendent. The land has not been formally put in possession of the Municipality and Succession Officer from him to that effect. Orders might be issued to sell the land as the Municipality was not put in possession"

14 The Sub-Division officer reported the matter to the Deputy Commissioner in his letter no 698 dt 17-6-93, which is now in the records ——

On receipt of the above, the Deputy Commissioner, states as follows- no. 255 dt 16-7-93

"on coming to Fakir Muslim to collect a public sum of Rs ... from Ghulam Muhammad he learnt of the valuable property in the land

Land, and to make no apportionment in the
name of the latter. The Ameldar has
not only anticipated his authority
but has also totally ignored the clear
rules under which these Contracts
as they do not already, contain
a number of terms of various kinds
are indubitably liable to be sold
by public auction. His explanation
to the effect that the question of sale
was not raised cannot be accepted
as a justification for his arbitrary
action and as his bounden duty
to have proceeded under the rules
or daught instructions if he had
any doubts in the case.

The fact that upon
application (of Karamchand)
proceedings were instituted for
bringing the land under assessment
could not give him any priority
of right

I am constrained to observe that
the conduct of the Ameldar as
disclosed in this case is to say the
least

Court and immediately

" The Amistad District

" Court Proclamation of the Court and
the Statement is contained in the
fact that no written petition
was, as is necessary under para 28
section XX of the Land Court Rules
to legalize the possession has been
granted in this Court Statement
on the Land must therefore be held
to be illegal and inauthentic.

" Under these circumstances,
I request that you will be good
enough to have each of the Survey
Off in possession duly sealed pursuant
section the same process. " .

The Sub District officer according
ordered the Amistad District to
Serika, that the Land would be sold
by public auction on the 19th Feb 93.

15

The Declaration that appeal
to this office against the above
order — The Grounds of appeal are
as follows — . The

The Deputy Commissioner was requested to hold the Sale in abeyance pending the decision of the appeal.

The Deputy Commissioner in his report states

"There are two main statements in Granville Landerji petition of appeal - (1) an order was issued from this office to the Amildar to obtain immediate from Granville Landerji the assessment fixed on the land and the value of the trees thereon, also this office was to demand of the Talluk Authorities on or about 8th March 1892 to give effect to the question at issue whatever that means."

The Deputy Commissioner in his report states that the principal reasons which led him to cancel the proceedings of the Amildar were that the land contained a very large number of trees

1 ... nued (15) Nde Court

Paper No (7)

18 In the hearing, the case was decided against the petitioner and the decision of the Dy Comr. in Cancelling the grant of the land in question was approved. The Dy Comm was further requested to sell the land to the highest bidder provided a fair price was offered for the trees in it and it was considered unnecessary to reserve the land for Govt. provided

Paper No (12)

19 In his letter No 4209/1321 dated 8th May 1895, the Dy. Comm. stated that the land was decided to be reserved for forest purposes and promised to send an alienation statement which he submitted with his No 2263/596 9/15—12—95.

Paper No (14)

Paper No (15)

20 Upon this the Conr. of Forests was asked to report whether the reserva-

the petitioner

(16)
was desirable and whether
an alienation of the land
for forest purposes was
necessary.

21 In reply Col. Walker No (16)
stated in his letter No 3534/333
dated the 28th April 1896
that whilst he was unwilling
to extend regular plantations
the circumstances of the
land in question rendered
it advisable to reserve it
in view to it being incorporated
in the State Forest which
it adjoins.

22. With reference to the Letter (17)
above Col Walker was
asked to report to which
Forest it would be added
and when it will be
added, and how the
land was to be utilized
in the meantime.

23. The Conservator No (18)
now reports in his letter
Docket No 4235/385 of 11th June 1896

(14)

and should have therefore been told, that the Auditor's procedure was unsatisfactory, before authority whatever to grant the said title Applicant on payment of the price for the trees and that he was improperly understood"

Submitted for orders

Sd

VIII

The following letters since received from the 1st Commissioner are also submitted :—

(1) Copy of letter of 2nd R. Srinivasan Secretary to Commissioner Explaining in detail the value of the lands in question & recommending their reservation

(2) Letter from the Registrar of Lands No 831/70 relative thereto subject.

(3) 2nd Comm's letter No 347/72a dated 7.3.94 forwarding Copies of the above & stating that the information furnished by the Auditor is not reliable

For the case for hearing. Question to be to Palkumar only.

V.C.
14/3/94

Sd
10/3

Posted to 29th March 94

Adjourned to 26th April 94
10 a.m. to 10½ a.m. 94

M. No 95 of 1893-94

Appl
Narasimhareddy
of Venkatapuram
Gorebiddanoor Taluq
Kolar Dt

In 1889, Petitioner applied for certain unassessed lands, which on their being duly assessed by the Survey Dept, the Sub Dr officer asked the Amildar, whether the applicant was willing to pay the assessment, & whether there were any trees of value in the land. The Amildar reported that the applicant was willing to pay the assessment & that the value of the trees in the land was Rs 10, & that the Rs Karnams might be altered. The Rs Karnam was accordingly altered & sent to the Amildar to be filed. Then, the Amildar took it as a permission to grant the Darkhast, & directed the Sheristadar on 15/6/92 to make the Patta in the name of the applicant & to collect the value of the trees from him. The Sheristadar accordingly levied the money & remitted it to the

Treasy
subsequently on
the complaints of the
villagers, the Dep
Commissioner of the
Land to be sold
to the highest bidder
the appeal against
the order.—
Dr
The Forest officer
upon visiting the
lands under notice
(228 acres) contain
trees of the value of about
Rs 1200 — as stated
~~by the D.C.~~
~~Forest Officer recommends~~
~~the land~~ be reserved
for Govt. The Govt
has not yet decided
whether the Forest should
be reserved ~~or sold~~
Govt and for cultivation.
Palleans cultivated
a portion of the lands on
Nandana, & expenses
1892-93
to give it up.—
Govt consider

on 16th July 1893

Timber trees 537
Firewood 631
Dangerous boundary 56
1224

~~that~~ the grant of
Dark Rhett & appt
is charged with grass
Nothing is the value of
the trees is very much
understated, but ~~the~~
also the Ameloner was
never authorized to
grant it to Petitioner.
The ~~grantee of~~ Dy
Const has therefore
cancelled the grant
& his decision is
approved.—

The Dy Const
is requested to ~~examine~~
~~whether Dark Rhett~~
~~be reserved for Forest~~
~~not~~ if ~~so~~ sell the land
~~to~~ the highest bidder
provided he can
get a fair price for
the trees it contains
~~if not to reserve it~~
for Grant.—

The Genl
trusts that the
Dy Const will
take proper notice
of the conduct of
the Ameloner
who granted
the Petn.

12/5/94

~~Petitioner~~ The
grant of the land
to Petitioner being
unauthorized &
Patent will prove
has been properly cancelled
by the Dy Const &
the Genl sees no
reason to
modify his
decision
CL

Redraft 837
Oct 1575

(17)

that the Land in question will be incorporated in Block II of Vera Sundaresara Raja, Esq. Forest to be worked for fuel supply and that the line will continue as part of the present. He further reports that it is intended to plant any regular plantation on the site.

Subs for orders
Please see 2nd Conservator's Order on paper Ser. No. (16)
Chief Conservator.
25/6/96

Submitted
The boundaries of the lands under the case of transfer to the Electricity Board for the Kadamparai Power House are to be demarcated in the presence of the Executive Engineer, Electricity Board

to submit an opinion that
this is an insurance settlement
already in the file but in the
case stands testify to what
that the purpose of the grant
& the formation of the Adirondack
Park was. It was to save
the water supply of the Hudson,
perhaps the New Netherland
thing to do today.

Yours
5-1-96

Respectfully submitted
W. W. Ingraham
Supervisor

Dec 1 1896

Assistant Attorney General

Dear Sir:

In order to secure the
passage of Chapter 284 of the Laws of 1896 entitled "An act to provide for a forest reserve in the counties of Hamilton, Herkimer, Fulton, Saratoga, Essex, Franklin, St. Lawrence, Lewis, Oneida, Clinton and Warren" it was necessary to insert in the bill a provision that the lands within the limits of the reserve should not be sold for less than $3.00 per acre. This provision was inserted in the bill at the request of the Forest Commission and was agreed to by the Legislature with the understanding that the Commission would not sell any of the lands for less than $3.00 per acre. The Commission has also agreed that it will not sell any of the lands for less than $3.00 per acre. The Commission has also agreed that it will not sell any of the lands for less than $3.00 per acre.

Yours truly,
W. W. Ingraham
Supervisor

7.7.96

30/6/96

Abstract prepared
mk
6/10.

(25)

A. S. No 95
93.94.

THE DEWAN OF MYSORE

Camp:

Appeal Petition of Narasima Raddy, Patail of Vengatapura, Goribidnur Taluk Kolar District.

HUMBLY SHEWETH :—

This is an appeal preferred against the instructions conveyed in letter No. $\frac{255}{5}$ dated the 16th July 1893 to the sub-divisional officer Chikballapur by the Deputy Commissioner Kolar District, directing the sale of Survey numbers 2 and 3 of Becherakh Kolamahally, Davanayakana Pollya Samath, Goribidnur Taluk, which were put in possession of the Petitioner by virtue of his Durkhast dated 22nd March 1889 and the Moochilka obtained from him on the 24th February 1892.

Before however submitting for the consideration of the Government the inconsistent and arbitrary steps ordered by the authorities, Petitioner deems it indispensible to subjoin a brief resume of the case.

Petitioner put in a Durkhast on the 22nd March 1889 for the Survey numbers referred to above.

A reference to the Survey Department was hereupon made and the numbers applied for were divided and assessment fixed thereon by that Department at 4 annas per acre as intimated to the Sub-Divisional Officer on the 8th January 1891. An order was then issued by the Sub-Divisional Officer to the Amildar to obtain from the Petitioner Moochelka or agreement binding him to pay annually to Government the assessment determined upon by the Survey, as also to pay the estimated value set upon the trees standing on the lands in question,— which was duly complied with.

The necessary alterations in the Akarband having been made by the Survey, orders were received by the Taluk Authorities on or about 8th March 1892, to give effect to the question at issue. In pursuance of the orders No. 207 dated the 19th January 1892 issued from the Taluk to the Sheikdar, Moochalika was obtained from the Petitioner to conform himself to the payment to Government of Rs. 37 and Rs. 20 for Survey numbers 2 and 3 (dry) 148 Acres and 37 Goontas and 79 Acres and 37 Goontas respectively.

This Moochilka together with the sum of Rs. 10—10—0, being the price of the Malki recovered from the Petitioner, were submitted to the Amildar under Report No. 52 dated the 30th June 1892 by the Sheikdar. This amount was duly credited to Government in the Treasury Accounts on the 1st July 1892.

Petitioner cultivated the lands and cropped them with horse-gram in the year 'Nandana'. To the Petitioners' great surprise, the letter under advertance emanates from the Deputy Commissioner, whose instructions were to have carried into effect, had not the Petitioner fortunately intervened and requested the Deputy Commissioner to defer the sale of the lands pending the decision of the Government to which, he said, he would appeal for redress. Authenticated, copy of the Deputy Commissioners' letter referred to above, is appended hereunto for perusal.

Petitioner now begs leave to say as follows:—

(1) That by the Amildar's Takid No. 43 dated 22nd June 1892 to the Sheikdar, wherein the latter is directed to prepare Patta in the name

Offg Dewan's rdr on petition

Call for Proceedings and a day for hearing appeal. Stay sale pending decision hereof.

(Intd) J. R. A. J.

10/93

Issd accdgly
M.K.
11/10/93

(General Serial No. 32.)

1893. (2) 1076

30-10-93

~~No.~~ Comp. No 459

Name of Petitioner. Narasimha Reddy Patail of Venkatapura

Village.

Taluk. Goribidnur

District. Kolar

Date of Arzi. 3 . 10 . 93 .

Date of Receipt. 5 . 10 . 93 .

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. One

ABSTRACT.

Appeal preferred against the instructions conveyed in Kolar Deputy Commrs Letter No. 258/6 of the 16th July 1893. to the Sub Division Officer, Chikballapur directing the sale of Sur: nos 2 & 3 of Bechrak, Kolamahally, Devanayakana Palya Jaumat, Goribidnur Taluk, who were put in possession of the petitioner by virtue of his Darkhast dated 22nd March 1889 and the Muchalika obtained from him on 24th February 1892.

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9-92,—1000.

(Authenticated copy submitted with the petition)

P.T.

Camp No 87 of 7/10/93

(2nd)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

3

Camp Reyd

The accompanying Petition No. 459

dated 3rd October 93 received at the Dewan's Office from Narasimha Reddy, Patil of Venkatapura, Gowribidanur Taluk, appealing against the Deputy Commr's order directing the sale of Survey No 213 of Beebalu Kolamachally, Gowribidanur Taluk which were put in petitioner's possession by virtue of his Darkhast of 22nd March 1889 is forwarded together with its enclosures to the Deputy Commr, Kolar Distt for early submission of the proceedings connected with the case.

2. Pending disposal of the present appeal to Govt the Deputy Commr is requested to hold in abeyance the sale referred to above.

By Order,

Camp Bangalore
Octr 1893.

Chief Secretary.

Draft Subd copy to the Dewan's order
MK

L.R. 123-93-2.

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—21-11-92—3,000.

of the Petitioner and to submit the same for signature and seal, Petitioner submits, possession of the lands to all purposes and intents was ceded to him beyond question.

(2) The authorities now say, that the sum of Rs. 10—10—0 recovered from the Petitioner as the estimated price of the Malki is a paltry sum, as urged on in a complaint thrown vexatiously in the way of the Petitioner's right to and authorized enjoyment of the lands, after a good lapse of time and as if the authorities had not had opportunities of testing the accuracy of the valuation of the Malki prior to the disposal of the Durkhast.

This omission on their part does not in any way affect the Petitioner's title to the lands, so much so, that this is purely a bargain between the Government and ryot. When the price money of the Malki were recovered and credited to Gevernment, the bargain was once for all struck and there was an end of the matter.

To say, *at this distant date*, that, the sum is low or high cannot for one moment hold good. For if it could, petitions and complaints, ever so many, in respect to lands given away centuries back, would be cropping in, which the Government, *under such a principal*, must, of absolute necessity, have to countenance.

(3) If the Petitioner was not, as the Amildar is stated to have alleged, put in possession of the lands in question, they should have been treated in the Taluk Accounts and Registers as lands unauthorizedly occupied by the Petitioner, who had cropped them during that year and hence brought on to the Takarar Takhta for the orders of the Jamabandy Officer.

Again, if the lands were not taken to be in the occupation of the Petitioner, surely the Local Authorities should have put them up to the Hulbani auction in the interests of Government.

The very fact that the lands were neither treated as having been unauthorizedly occupied, nor put up to the Hulbani auction shews clearly, that Petitioner's, possession was in no way illegal as concluded by the Deputy Commissioner.

Petitioner takes it to be an omission on the part of the Amildar not to have granted him written permission and this cannot molest Petitioner's possession against the clear and strong grounds set forth above.

For, what are these official forms and ceremonies to a ryot who is not expected to be conversant with them:—Petitioner on the other hand, believes, that, firstly, the Government having recovered from him theprice of the Malki; socondly, the Amildar having issued Takid to the Sheikdar, in plain terms, to make out the Patta in Petitioner's name and lastly, Petitioner having been allowed to cultivate the lands unmolested for 3 times *three months*,—after which, *under the rules in force*, possession cannot be disputed are far better testimonies than all written or registered permission put together to shew, that, possession was not with—held to Petitioner nor was it ever intended, as the Amildar has now only tried to defend himself in the matter by shifts, Vide para 4 of the Deputy Commissioner's letter.

Having laid the foregoing facts of the case before the benign Government, Petitioner prays, that the records of the case may be called for and even-handed justice done to his well-earned claims and that pending the final decision of the Government, the Deputy Commissioner may be instructed to hold his orders for sale in abeyance.

And for which act, Petitioner shall ever pray.

BANGALORE
3rd October 1893.

Rep. for the 1893 Index (28)

23.93.3

L.R.

(General Serial No. 30.) (9)

1893. 8486.

From Pol. 27

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore
Bangalore

Dated 10th November 1893

Despatched 18

Received 19th "

Enclosures No. 34 file 3 V.F.

General No. 1674
No. 425 1893-94.

Sir,

With reference to
official memorandum
Camp Dis. 37 dated
7/10/93, on the petition
of appeal presented by
Kurtumunda Poovaiah
of Hanedapur Gorikhi
-mani Taluk, I have the
honor to forward copy
of the Chickaballapur
Sub Division officer's
report

report No. 207 dated 5th August which
records the facts of the case:-

2. The principal reasons alleged before me to cancel the proceedings of the Auditor were that the land contained a very large number of trees and should have therefore been sold, that the Auditor, whose procedure in this case was unsatisfactory, had no authority whatever to grant the land to appellant on payment of a price for the trees and that the trees were grossly undervalued.

3. The vernacular records connected with the case together with Instruments of petition of appeal are submitted.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient humble servant

Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

(30)

(4a)

From.

The Sub. Divisional Officer
Chicacole Division.

To.

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Dated 5th November 1893
Registered 6th —— do ——
Received 8th —— do ——

Enclosures No. one can file

General No. 207

No.

Sir,

With reference to your No. 1453/122 dated the 13th/19th ultimo, calling for a report on a petition of appeal preferred to Govt. by Kantimanaidu, Patel of Kencotapur in the Gopalpur Estate, I have the honor to state

State that Kunjimanady applied in the first instance for the cultivable portions of unassessed waste land Nos 2 and 3, 148 acres and 38 gountas and 79 and 37 gountas respectively in 1889. When a measurer was sent for measuring off the cultivable portions of these numbers Kunjimanady changed his application into one for the whole numbers on Huzoor assessment. When Huzoor assessment was refused, Kunjimanady agreed to take the land on ordinary assessment. Some correspondence followed thereupon, till the Superintendent of Survey decided the land at 4 annas per acre and desired that

(34)

(General Serial No. 30.)

1353-2

189½.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar Dist.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 7th April 1894

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 2.

General No. 3547

No. 722

This office letter
+3455.
Bo 10-4 is with the 5th
425
Number. Bo is in reply
to the petition of
Narasimia Reddi,
Patel of Tekkapur
Gollahalli Taluk
appealing against
this office order fixing
the rate of Assessment
for Land & appointing
Malaka Gollahalli
Taluk.

In Continuation
of

that the (2d) Akarbandh might be sent to him for rectification - It was accordingly sent. In the meanwhile without an order from this office or the District office, the Amildar obtained a Moochalika from Kunsimmareddy and got him to pay the supposed value of the trees standing on the land -

2. There are two misstatements in Kunsimmareddy's petition of appeal which it is necessary to point out. No order was issued from this office to the Amildar to obtain a Moochalika from Kunsimmareddy binding him to pay the assessment fixed on the land and the value of the trees thereon. This office order simply called for a report - Neither this office nor the District Office directed

"the Taluk authorities on or
"about the 8th to March 1892 to
"give effect to the question at
"issue" whatever that means.
The action of the Amildar in
getting Narsimmareddy to pay
the value of the trees and directing
a the land to be registered in his
name was wholly unauthorised
and was cancelled by the
Deputy Commissioner on the
complaint of the ryots who
his were excluded from the benefit
the of the pasture of the lands which
as they used to purchase annually
esse and on the ground of the trees
- sin on the land having been
the la ridiculously undervalued.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.
(Signed) A. Krishnasamy Ayyangar
Sub Division officer
Chickballapur.

Sam
theren
of Sun
tamm

"Copy"
Krishnasamy
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

of the afore, has the
honor to submit for
information the ac-
-companying Copy of
a memo dated the 7th
February. 1870 received
from the Asst Accountant
General (a/c) Dept.
of this District, concer-
-ning the alienation
of the lands in question
for Canal Purposes
as suggested by the
Executive Engineer
of Tirhut in his letter
No 1551 dated 11 Decem-
ber 1869 and also of what
is now submitted for
reference, and also
bringing to notice
the value of the
standing on the land
in question - which
is estimated at Rupees
13,7-9 as is shown in
the Statement
by the Collector. His
Lordship feels it enough
to state that another
Memorial has failed

to

Memo in Re-complaint of villagers of Kaccatagnur submitted for orders of Deputy Commissioner, together with the Petition, referred by the Inspector-General with his docket No: 1831/70 dated 15th December-1893.

1- Memo furnishes a typical instance of an unscrupulous device practised to defraud Government of its just share of Forest Revenue. The acquisition of the land which originally formed part and parcel of the well preserved Forest of Narasimha Swamy Betta allows to travel less as desirable and deserves ably managed by the Deputy Conservator (Patel Narasimha Reddy) under the gloss of official investigation by village officials, which usually precede the acceptance of the application, that not a single Kyot in the village knew anything about it until the friends party came to be felt by the villagers subsequently owing to the improvements known by the application to the Register and Survey of the village.

2- A grievance has been caused to the Kyots among whom the feeling is very strong. Application the whole block surveyed No: 2 and 3 measuring 228 acres and 3 Gunthas, waste land and uncultivated set out by the Shanbhag, Shanbhag and Surveyor's Map as purely Forest land totally unfit for cultivation is opposed both by the Taluk, Sales, police and Inspector on the ground of a very insignificant portion of about

(36) to realize the responsible position he now holds and how unsafe it would be to rely on his information

Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

10 acres - being contiguous to the Reserved Forest (Nilambur-Devarsa Betta) It abounds in different species of trees yielding Timber, Minor Forest Produce and fuel as per particulars subjoined.

Name of Tree	Timber		Fuel		Minor Produce		Grazing		Remarks
	Approximate Yearly Coupe Area	Rate per acre Rs.	Approximate Yearly Coupe Area	Rate per acre Rs.	Approximate Yearly Coupe Area	Rate per acre Rs.	Approximate Yearly Coupe Area	Rate per acre Rs.	
Chemmaram									Valuation Survey not yet completed
Mani Maram									
Chemmaram									
Venteak									
Kadu Kumbil									
Irul									
Aini									
Venkotta									
Vellaga									
Anjili									
Thaila									
Karimaruthu									
Kalpayani									
Vellaga									
Poovam

3- It will be seen that the appointment of the Standing Committee have carefully adhered to the rules in force in the District. Without discussing more general questions of policy, the topography of the forest in dispute can be studied apart. The sketch of Surveyors to which the attention is requested will show that the extent and value of the forest property which constitute at present the subject of attraction to dwellers in towns is one able to judge from local circumstances and in the absence of records, has received ample means to congratulate himself on his ingenuity to find out such remarkable fragments of a stately army of Rajas, which he can easily recognize himself by the aid of his eagle eye. Any defendant who is at all aggrieved at the loss of forest revenue we may find consolation in the fact that the Government has respected the rights of the aboriginal tribes that may exist in their native land, which have secured any guarantee to provide against the contingency of the Rajah's dying upon the land at the pleasure or immediately after the Standing Committee is removed for a short time. After the land is relinquished by the occupant of the land has decided, then they will have and what

of money will have to be spent to restore it to its original

condition by means of artificial planting and whether
any land divested of its original friendly forest cover
will ever be successfully afforested, requires
perhaps not much of consideration in this connection.

4- The grant of the forest under said assessment
at a rate lower than that assessed for ordinary
khurak grain to survey operation affects the welfare
of the adjacent forest in any- the occupant of the
land may at any moment sell the wood for charcoal
burning - In the process of burning wood during the
hot weather fire may enter the forests resulting in de-
structive consequences, unless the owner of the land can
be bound to certain restrictions in this respect-

5- Whilst diverting from the forest department
full management and income-valuable as shown above,
the land will moreover mean supply for the perpetration
of forest offences. To remedy these evils and to ensure
satisfaction among the villagers, I would respectfully
urge the desirability of the department being allowed to
retain the land for the extension of agricultivation.

6- If the Khatidhotdar owners already put in possession
of the land which I believe has already taken place
as the land cultivated about acres) it may be required
under the provisions of the Land Registration Act, the

Name of Ryots who received from the Collector

Building sites re Standing enclosures

no claim to be compensated to them if the lands are taken

to any compensation for him under the said Act.

7- I am certain that the grant of the land under

notice would have been withheld unless the Officer charged

with the duty of application was satisfied by

the clearest evidence of the representation of facts.

8- Whatever may be the explanation offered by

Local Authority to justify the folly which has officials done

to the severely destitute Tank Bunds - which I have

visited and driven to for the first time in the present case.

(Signed) B. Seetharamiah

District Forest Officer

Camp Gudiwanda
19th February 1894

Copy

Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

(447)

COMMISSIONER OF MYSORE

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharaja of Mysore.

(7)

Appeal No. 95 of 1893-94

Appellant. Vs. Respondent.

Karimulla Saheb
of Kadakolpeta
Gubbi Taluk
Kolar District

L.R. 123-93-5

Decision of 5th May 1894.

In 1889 petitioner applied for certain unexpired land, and the same being duly opposed by the Survey Department, the Sub Division Officer asked the Amildar, whether the applicant was willing to pay the assessment fixed, and whether there were any trees of value in the land. The Amildar reported that the applicant was willing to pay the assessment, that the value of the trees in the land was Rs. 30, and that the Shanbhog valued

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

1831
70

From,

The Inspector General
of Forests
and Plantations
in Mysore

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

Dated 15th December 1893

Sir

I have the honor
to forward for early dis-
posal petition dated 1st
instant Registered No 2141/410 from
Boodigappa, Chennappa reddi and
others of Venkatapura Village in
the Gowribidnur Talook.—

2. The petitioners bring to
notice that a jungle tract
of 250 acres in area has been
granted for Cultivation to one
Narasimhareddi of their Village
at 4 annas per acre and that a
Value

Value of Rupees 10 has been fixed for payment by the said individual for all the trees growing in the said tract, whereas the petitioners say they are ready to pay Rupees 260 for the same

3. Therefore request You will be good enough to institute necessary enquiry into the matter and hold the grant in abeyance till the tract in question is inspected by the Forest Officer and reported on as to whether it should not be reserved for the department for sandal propagation under the supervision of the amildar If it be not required, then the trees should be sold by public auction by the amildar personally to the best advantage of Government.

I have &c

"Copy" Signed/ L. Ricketts

Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

L. P. G. of Forests

be allowed. The defendant was accordingly called and the Plaintiff's Counsel opened. Then the Counsel for the Plaintiff examined Dr. Francis Fenwick of St. Jean qr Bivouac. The Parties in the Cause after the Affidavits had been read the Value of the Tells from Time. The Defendant accordingly caused the Proceedings read, examined & the Jurisdiction.

2. Subsequently in the Complaint of the Village, the Dr. Francis Fenwick on the 16th July 1825 declared the Land to be the Land of the Defendant. The Appeal of Plaintiff was

3. The Defendant Counsel offered to prove that the Land under Notice (220 Acres) contained Tells of Value, adjacent to Rock, and that it would be required for Pavement. The Dr. Francis Fenwick objected to the Tells being sold for Pavement. The Court

Jurisdiction — 57
Fenwood — 63
Surfaces — 56
1,224

(48)

29-5-94

No. 1076
95/93-94

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT,

15th May 1894

(6)

Endorsement granted to Krishnaraja Wadiyar Bahadur Maharaja of Mysore for petitioner Public, Home Department

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 1076 dated 3rd October, 1893 95/93-94

Petitioner is informed that the grant of the Land to them being cancelled and resumed with Grand, Native property. Cancelled by the Amrutpara and the Government Lease Rotation to Deputy Commissioner.

Enclosure if any mentioned.

Ld 19/5/94

"By Order"

Chief Secretary.

Registered
Compd.
S.M.

M. G. C. P. Bangalore—6-3-94.—2000.

fuein out for cultivation.

4. Petitioner cultivated a portion of the land in Kandana (1892-93), and refuses to give it up.

5. Government consider that the fraud of Darkhast to applicant is tainted with fraud. Not only is the value of the trees very much understated, but also the Arulpar was never authorised to fraud it to Petitioner. The Dy. Commissioner has therefore properly cancelled the fraud and his decision is approved.

6. The Dy. Commissioner is requested to sell the land to the highest bidder, provided he could get a fair price for the trees it contains, and provided further that it is considered unnecessary to reserve it for Government.

7. The Government trust that the Dy. Commissioner will take proper notice of the conduct of the Amildar who framed the Award.

By order

Redraft ✓ Chief Secretary

No. 20320 / P.J. 129-93 dt 21.5.94
29.

Copy to the Dy. Commissioner Kolar District with Vernacular proceedings forwarded with his letter No. 1674/425 of 10 November 1893.

Chief Secretary

Redraft
compd.
SKB.

Print in abstract
D.J. 5/6/94
abstract
5/6.

191 1894

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Kolar District.

(50)

Kolar 2nd May 94

No 4309/1042

8

To, The Chief Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore.

Sir,

Adverting to para 7 of the Government decision in appeal No 95 of 1893-94 copy of which was received with your memo No 20320 of the 13th Sept 93 the Inspector reports that unattested grant by the Amildar of the Government land of certain lands in one Viranna Reddy of Venkatapur in the said taluk, I have the honor to submit that in my opinion the Circumstances under which Amildar's order was passed in this case is most unsatisfactory and it is open to grave suspicion & deserves more severe notice than a warning by me & make them aware hereafter and directed in safeguarding the interests of Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obt Servt

Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1894. 1807.

OFFICE OF THE
2 JUN 94

From The Deputy Commissioner Kolar Goldfields

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 31 May

Despatched 5 June

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 4309

No. 1042

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Submits that the conduct of a miller Yarnish is most unsatisfactory & deserving of severe notice.

Robt Brandreth 4/6/94

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Rec'd 2/6.

Transmitted with pre- vious reference to the Chief Secretary for prompt disposal 5/6/94 Submitted to Councillor 5/6/94

L.R. 123.93 &

N. Dy Comr is requested to take the explanations of the accused & to submit his views with his opinion & all the records of the case.

C.P. 20/6/94

Draft 6/24/8

1076 of 93-94

Receipt No 1854 / 4255 dated 13/5/94 from D.C. Kolar

Sir

Submitted to the Chief Secretary's Office Note dated 31/5/94 from D.C. Kolar.

Read
17.7.94

Mr Rao
Please see once more if the file is still with the Chief Secy.

BKR
23-7-94

The file has not yet been received back.

Read
1/8/94

L.R. 123-93 S

Submitted to the Hon'ble Chief Secy
I beg that the file which is in the Chief Secy's Office may be ordered to be sent to the Branch, so that the same to be put up with the Application for review

13/8

127 of 93 was sent to Revenue Office on 2/8/94

Please see if the file has since been received in office

BKR
31-8-

Submitted for Orders

The file is still with the Hon'ble Chief Secy

Read this note
4/9/94

Section Officer

Under Secretary

129-93

(General Serial No. 32.)

1894.

No. 18

Name of Petitioner. C Venkatanarasappa Reddy

Village. Veerasandra

Taluk. Gauribidanur

District. Kolar

Date of Arzi. 30-07-94.

Date of Receipt. 3-7-94

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. three

Copies of the Order remanding the case required

Rs 12.00

ABSTRACT.

Review Application.

Prays that the order contained in this office endorsement No. 1076 of 1893-94 may be kindly reconsidered.

The petitioner submits

That the S.R. No. 273 case not taken available lands + that is why the Surveyor authorities brought these inferior lands + have recommended for a very long time the distribution of the said lands are really valuable by containing so many trees how did the Surveyor authorities pass it without checking? While the Amildar, through the Shanbhogue fixed the Tasdik assessment No. 10/- assessed the same since time, the land of the petitioner has the Tasdik assessment No. 10/- + assessed

not [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
the trees in them.

2 The Amildar for many years & other
now says that the land are worth
Rs 1000/- & the Forest authorities officers
of the valuable trees in them. But the
Amildar, Deputy Commissioner &
the Survey Superintendent decided same
settled this before only, but now after
his suspension of the case, it was wrong
on the part of the Deputy Commissioner
in having issued this unjust order,
siding with complainants who bear
grudge against him.

3. He has sustained loss as he was prevented
from cultivating the lands, since two
years although he was paying the
assessment according to his classification

4. If there is any fraud at all, the Amildar
Deputy Commissioner & the Survey Superintendent
are responsible & that is no reason why
he should sustain loss.

5. He will not be responsible for the payment
of the assessment till the Katta of the
said lands are made out in his name.

Prays that after perusal of the
records, even-handed justice may
be done to him within three months
time for otherwise he will have to
go to the competent Court for obtaining redress.

[illegible]
[illegible]

ਅਦਾਲਤ

ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਮਤੀ ਸੁਨੀਤਾ ਦੇਵੀ ਪਤਨੀ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਰਾਮ ਲਾਲ ਵਾਸੀ ਪਿੰਡ ਤੇ ਡਾਕਖਾਨਾ ਮਹਿਰਾਜ ਤਹਿਸੀਲ ਤੇ ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਫਿਰੋਜ਼ਪੁਰ ਹਾਲ ਵਾਸੀ ਮਕਾਨ ਨੰਬਰ ੧੨੩ ਗਲੀ ਨੰਬਰ ੫ ਮੁਹੱਲਾ ਸ਼ਾਂਤੀ ਨਗਰ ਫਿਰੋਜ਼ਪੁਰ ਸ਼ਹਿਰ

ਬਨਾਮ

੧. ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਰਾਮ ਲਾਲ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਹਰੀ ਰਾਮ ਵਾਸੀ ਪਿੰਡ ਤੇ ਡਾਕਖਾਨਾ ਮਹਿਰਾਜ ਤਹਿਸੀਲ ਤੇ ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਫਿਰੋਜ਼ਪੁਰ

੨. ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਹਰੀ ਰਾਮ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਗੰਗਾ ਰਾਮ ਵਾਸੀ ਪਿੰਡ ਤੇ ਡਾਕਖਾਨਾ ਮਹਿਰਾਜ ਤਹਿਸੀਲ ਤੇ ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਫਿਰੋਜ਼ਪੁਰ

ਦਾਅਵਾ ਬਾਬਤ ਤਲਾਕ, ਗੁਜ਼ਾਰਾ ਭੱਤਾ ਅਤੇ ਖਰਚਾ ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ

ਮਾਣਯੋਗ ਜੱਜ ਸਾਹਿਬ,

੧. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਮੁਦਈਆ ਦਾ ਵਿਆਹ ਮਿਤੀ ੧੪ ਫਰਵਰੀ ੨੦੧੭ ਨੂੰ ਮੁਦਾਲਾ ਨੰਬਰ ੧ ਨਾਲ ਹਿੰਦੂ ਰੀਤੀ-ਰਿਵਾਜ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਹੋਇਆ ਸੀ। ਵਿਆਹ ਸਮੇਂ ਮੁਦਈਆ ਦੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਨੇ ਆਪਣੀ ਹੈਸੀਅਤ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਦਾਜ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਸੀ ਅਤੇ ਬਰਾਤ ਦੀ ਵੀ ਚੰਗੀ ਸੇਵਾ ਕੀਤੀ ਸੀ।

੨. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਵਿਆਹ ਤੋਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਮੁਦਈਆ ਆਪਣੇ ਸਹੁਰੇ ਘਰ ਪਿੰਡ ਤੇ ਡਾਕਖਾਨਾ ਮਹਿਰਾਜ ਵਿਖੇ ਰਹਿਣ ਲੱਗ ਪਈ। ਮੁਦਾਲਾ ਨੰਬਰ ੧ ਉਸ ਸਮੇਂ ਫਿਰੋਜ਼ਪੁਰ ਸ਼ਹਿਰ ਵਿਖੇ ਨੌਕਰੀ ਕਰਦਾ ਸੀ ਅਤੇ ਹਫ਼ਤੇ ਵਿੱਚ ਇੱਕ ਵਾਰ ਘਰ ਆਉਂਦਾ ਸੀ।

੩. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਵਿਆਹ ਤੋਂ ਕੁਝ ਸਮੇਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਹੀ ਮੁਦਾਲਾ ਨੰਬਰ ੧ ਅਤੇ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਮੁਦਾਲਾ ਨੰਬਰ ੨ ਦਾ ਵਤੀਰਾ ਮੁਦਈਆ ਪ੍ਰਤੀ ਬਦਲ ਗਿਆ। ਉਹ ਮੁਦਈਆ ਨੂੰ ਘੱਟ ਦਾਜ ਲਿਆਉਣ ਦੇ ਤਾਅਨੇ ਮਾਰਨ ਲੱਗ ਪਏ ਅਤੇ ਛੋਟੀ-ਛੋਟੀ ਗੱਲ ਤੇ ਝਗੜਾ ਕਰਦੇ ਸਨ।

੪. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਮਿਤੀ ੦੩ ਜੁਲਾਈ ੨੦੧੮ ਨੂੰ ਮੁਦਾਲਾ ਨੰਬਰ ੧ ਅਤੇ ੨ ਨੇ ਮੁਦਈਆ ਨੂੰ ਬੁਰੀ ਤਰ੍ਹਾਂ ਕੁੱਟਮਾਰ ਕਰਕੇ ਘਰੋਂ ਕੱਢ ਦਿੱਤਾ। ਉਸ ਸਮੇਂ ਮੁਦਈਆ ਦੇ ਸਰੀਰ ਤੇ ਸੱਟਾਂ ਦੇ ਨਿਸ਼ਾਨ ਸਨ। ਮੁਦਈਆ ਆਪਣੇ ਪੇਕੇ ਘਰ ਪਿੰਡ ਤੇ ਡਾਕਖਾਨਾ ਮਹਿਰਾਜ ਵਿਖੇ ਆ ਗਈ ਅਤੇ ਉਦੋਂ ਤੋਂ ਉੱਥੇ ਹੀ ਰਹਿ ਰਹੀ ਹੈ।

੫. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਮੁਦਈਆ ਦੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਨੇ ਮੁਦਾਲਾ ਨੰਬਰ ੧ ਅਤੇ ੨ ਨੂੰ ਕਈ ਵਾਰ ਸਮਝਾਉਣ ਦੀ ਕੋਸ਼ਿਸ਼ ਕੀਤੀ ਪਰ ਉਹ ਨਹੀਂ ਮੰਨੇ। ਪੰਚਾਇਤ ਵੀ ਬੁਲਾਈ ਗਈ ਪਰ ਕੋਈ ਸਮਝੌਤਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੋ ਸਕਿਆ।

੬. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਮੁਦਾਲਾ ਨੰਬਰ ੧ ਦੀ ਮਾਸਿਕ ਤਨਖਾਹ ਲਗਭਗ ੩੫,੦੦੦ ਰੁਪਏ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਹ ਖੇਤੀਬਾੜੀ ਤੋਂ ਵੀ ਆਮਦਨ ਕਮਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਮੁਦਈਆ ਦੀ ਆਪਣੀ ਕੋਈ ਆਮਦਨ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਹ ਆਪਣਾ ਗੁਜ਼ਾਰਾ ਕਰਨ ਤੋਂ ਅਸਮਰੱਥ ਹੈ।

੭. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਮੁਦਈਆ ਨੇ ਆਪਣੇ ਵਕੀਲ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਮੁਦਾਲਾ ਨੰਬਰ ੧ ਨੂੰ ਮਿਤੀ ੧੫ ਅਗਸਤ ੨੦੧੮ ਨੂੰ ਕਾਨੂੰਨੀ ਨੋਟਿਸ ਭੇਜਿਆ ਸੀ ਪਰ ਉਸ ਦਾ ਕੋਈ ਜਵਾਬ ਨਹੀਂ ਆਇਆ।

੮. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਮੁਦਈਆ ਦੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਨੇ ਮੁਦਾਲਾ ਨੰਬਰ ੧ ਅਤੇ ੨ ਨੂੰ ਕਈ ਵਾਰ ਸਮਝਾਉਣ ਦੀ ਕੋਸ਼ਿਸ਼ ਕੀਤੀ ਪਰ ਉਹ ਨਹੀਂ ਮੰਨੇ। ਪੰਚਾਇਤ ਵੀ ਬੁਲਾਈ ਗਈ ਪਰ ਕੋਈ ਸਮਝੌਤਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੋ ਸਕਿਆ।

੯. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਮੁਦਾਲਾ ਨੰਬਰ ੧ ਦੀ ਮਾਸਿਕ ਤਨਖਾਹ ਲਗਭਗ ੩੫,੦੦੦ ਰੁਪਏ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਹ ਖੇਤੀਬਾੜੀ ਤੋਂ ਵੀ ਆਮਦਨ ਕਮਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਮੁਦਈਆ ਦੀ ਆਪਣੀ ਕੋਈ ਆਮਦਨ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਹ ਆਪਣਾ ਗੁਜ਼ਾਰਾ ਕਰਨ ਤੋਂ ਅਸਮਰੱਥ ਹੈ।

੧੦. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਮੁਦਈਆ ਨੇ ਆਪਣੇ ਵਕੀਲ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਮੁਦਾਲਾ ਨੰਬਰ ੧ ਨੂੰ ਮਿਤੀ ੧੫ ਅਗਸਤ ੨੦੧੮ ਨੂੰ ਕਾਨੂੰਨੀ ਨੋਟਿਸ ਭੇਜਿਆ ਸੀ ਪਰ ਉਸ ਦਾ ਕੋਈ ਜਵਾਬ ਨਹੀਂ ਆਇਆ।

੧੧. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਮੁਦਈਆ ਦੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਨੇ ਮੁਦਾਲਾ ਨੰਬਰ ੧ ਅਤੇ ੨ ਨੂੰ ਕਈ ਵਾਰ ਸਮਝਾਉਣ ਦੀ ਕੋਸ਼ਿਸ਼ ਕੀਤੀ ਪਰ ਉਹ ਨਹੀਂ ਮੰਨੇ। ਪੰਚਾਇਤ ਵੀ ਬੁਲਾਈ ਗਈ ਪਰ ਕੋਈ ਸਮਝੌਤਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੋ ਸਕਿਆ।

੧੨. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਮੁਦਾਲਾ ਨੰਬਰ ੧ ਦੀ ਮਾਸਿਕ ਤਨਖਾਹ ਲਗਭਗ ੩੫,੦੦੦ ਰੁਪਏ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਹ ਖੇਤੀਬਾੜੀ ਤੋਂ ਵੀ ਆਮਦਨ ਕਮਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਮੁਦਈਆ ਦੀ ਆਪਣੀ ਕੋਈ ਆਮਦਨ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਹ ਆਪਣਾ ਗੁਜ਼ਾਰਾ ਕਰਨ ਤੋਂ ਅਸਮਰੱਥ ਹੈ।

੧੩. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਮੁਦਈਆ ਨੇ ਆਪਣੇ ਵਕੀਲ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਮੁਦਾਲਾ ਨੰਬਰ ੧ ਨੂੰ ਮਿਤੀ ੧੫ ਅਗਸਤ ੨੦੧੮ ਨੂੰ ਕਾਨੂੰਨੀ ਨੋਟਿਸ ਭੇਜਿਆ ਸੀ ਪਰ ਉਸ ਦਾ ਕੋਈ ਜਵਾਬ ਨਹੀਂ ਆਇਆ।

੧੪. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਮੁਦਈਆ ਦੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਨੇ ਮੁਦਾਲਾ ਨੰਬਰ ੧ ਅਤੇ ੨ ਨੂੰ ਕਈ ਵਾਰ ਸਮਝਾਉਣ ਦੀ ਕੋਸ਼ਿਸ਼ ਕੀਤੀ ਪਰ ਉਹ ਨਹੀਂ ਮੰਨੇ। ਪੰਚਾਇਤ ਵੀ ਬੁਲਾਈ ਗਈ ਪਰ ਕੋਈ ਸਮਝੌਤਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੋ ਸਕਿਆ।

੧੫. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਮੁਦਾਲਾ ਨੰਬਰ ੧ ਦੀ ਮਾਸਿਕ ਤਨਖਾਹ ਲਗਭਗ ੩੫,੦੦੦ ਰੁਪਏ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਹ ਖੇਤੀਬਾੜੀ ਤੋਂ ਵੀ ਆਮਦਨ ਕਮਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਮੁਦਈਆ ਦੀ ਆਪਣੀ ਕੋਈ ਆਮਦਨ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਹ ਆਪਣਾ ਗੁਜ਼ਾਰਾ ਕਰਨ ਤੋਂ ਅਸਮਰੱਥ ਹੈ।

੧੬. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਮੁਦਈਆ ਨੇ ਆਪਣੇ ਵਕੀਲ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਮੁਦਾਲਾ ਨੰਬਰ ੧ ਨੂੰ ਮਿਤੀ ੧੫ ਅਗਸਤ ੨੦੧੮ ਨੂੰ ਕਾਨੂੰਨੀ ਨੋਟਿਸ ਭੇਜਿਆ ਸੀ ਪਰ ਉਸ ਦਾ ਕੋਈ ਜਵਾਬ ਨਹੀਂ ਆਇਆ।

੧੭. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਮੁਦਈਆ ਦੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਨੇ ਮੁਦਾਲਾ ਨੰਬਰ ੧ ਅਤੇ ੨ ਨੂੰ ਕਈ ਵਾਰ ਸਮਝਾਉਣ ਦੀ ਕੋਸ਼ਿਸ਼ ਕੀਤੀ ਪਰ ਉਹ ਨਹੀਂ ਮੰਨੇ। ਪੰਚਾਇਤ ਵੀ ਬੁਲਾਈ ਗਈ ਪਰ ਕੋਈ ਸਮਝੌਤਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੋ ਸਕਿਆ।

੧੮. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਮੁਦਾਲਾ ਨੰਬਰ ੧ ਦੀ ਮਾਸਿਕ ਤਨਖਾਹ ਲਗਭਗ ੩੫,੦੦੦ ਰੁਪਏ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਹ ਖੇਤੀਬਾੜੀ ਤੋਂ ਵੀ ਆਮਦਨ ਕਮਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਮੁਦਈਆ ਦੀ ਆਪਣੀ ਕੋਈ ਆਮਦਨ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਹ ਆਪਣਾ ਗੁਜ਼ਾਰਾ ਕਰਨ ਤੋਂ ਅਸਮਰੱਥ ਹੈ।

੧੯. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਮੁਦਈਆ ਨੇ ਆਪਣੇ ਵਕੀਲ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਮੁਦਾਲਾ ਨੰਬਰ ੧ ਨੂੰ ਮਿਤੀ ੧੫ ਅਗਸਤ ੨੦੧੮ ਨੂੰ ਕਾਨੂੰਨੀ ਨੋਟਿਸ ਭੇਜਿਆ ਸੀ ਪਰ ਉਸ ਦਾ ਕੋਈ ਜਵਾਬ ਨਹੀਂ ਆਇਆ।

੨੦. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਮੁਦਈਆ ਦੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਨੇ ਮੁਦਾਲਾ ਨੰਬਰ ੧ ਅਤੇ ੨ ਨੂੰ ਕਈ ਵਾਰ ਸਮਝਾਉਣ ਦੀ ਕੋਸ਼ਿਸ਼ ਕੀਤੀ ਪਰ ਉਹ ਨਹੀਂ ਮੰਨੇ। ਪੰਚਾਇਤ ਵੀ ਬੁਲਾਈ ਗਈ ਪਰ ਕੋਈ ਸਮਝੌਤਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੋ ਸਕਿਆ।

Two Rupees claim affix 30-6-94

18.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.
D. No. 18

ਦੀ ਸਾਰੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਸਮੇਤ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਸਾਰੀ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦੇ ਜੋ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਮਾਲਕੀ ਦੀ
ਸਾਰੀ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦੇ ਜੋ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਮਾਲਕੀ ਦੀ ਸਾਰੀ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦੇ ਜੋ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਮਾਲਕੀ
ਦੀ ਸਾਰੀ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦੇ ਜੋ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਮਾਲਕੀ ਦੀ ਸਾਰੀ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦੇ ਜੋ ਉਸ ਦੀ
ਮਾਲਕੀ ਦੀ ਸਾਰੀ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦੇ ਜੋ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਮਾਲਕੀ ਦੀ ਸਾਰੀ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦੇ ਜੋ
ਉਸ ਦੀ ਮਾਲਕੀ ਦੀ ਸਾਰੀ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦੇ ਜੋ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਮਾਲਕੀ ਦੀ ਸਾਰੀ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ
ਦੇ ਜੋ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਮਾਲਕੀ ਦੀ ਸਾਰੀ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦੇ ਜੋ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਮਾਲਕੀ ਦੀ ਸਾਰੀ
ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦੇ ਜੋ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਮਾਲਕੀ ਦੀ ਸਾਰੀ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦੇ ਜੋ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਮਾਲਕੀ
ਦੀ ਸਾਰੀ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦੇ ਜੋ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਮਾਲਕੀ ਦੀ ਸਾਰੀ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦੇ ਜੋ ਉਸ

ہے۔ اس کے بعد ہم نے اس کی تفصیل بیان کی

18.

L.R. 123-93-10

Draft

11/3

Chief Secretary

11/3

No. 18

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT,

189

Endorsement granted to Narasimha Reddy of Venkatapura, Goribidnur, Talaka.

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 18 dated 30 June 1894 praying for a review ~~Cancellation of the Grant~~ Decision in A.S.No. 95 of 1893-94 regarding the ~~Sale of Land~~ Nos: 2 & 3 of Kolamahalli in the said Taluk.

Petitioner is informed that Govt. see no reason to reconsider the order already issued.

2. The Ends. are returned.

"By Order"

D Secy. 2/3/95

for Chief Secretary.

Council 28.

S 1/12

12/2

M. G. C. P. Bangalore—6-3-91.—2000.

12/12

(579)

(7)

To.

The Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District.

Dt. 21-8-94

No. 29. ~~Pet. 34~~ No. 3455 / Pet. F. 129-93

His letter no. 4309 / 1232 dt. 31st March "

reporting that the conduct of the

Amildar Varamini of Coolhebur

Taluk, in having unauthorizedly

arrested certain Cooly in the Taluk of

one Narasimha Reddy, is open to

grave suspicion and is unsatisfactory.

L.R. 123-93-11

{

It is requested that the Deputy Commissioner is requested to take the examination of the Amildar and to submit the same with his opinion and all the records of the case

By order

Sd/ 27/8

Chief Secretary.

Draft

Council order

21.8.94

MM 27/8. VP

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No.

(10)

Gen. 31.]

12190.

1895 R3278

Petitions Branch.
Please put up the (60) refs quoted.
22/3.

The file is put up.
23/3.

Subd with refs.
24/3.

L.R. 123-93-12

Submitted —

The facts of the case are embodied in the Petn Branch memo in the file.

Whether the lands should be reserved for forest purposes or sold in public auction to the highest bidder, has not been made clear by the Dy Com^r — (Please see para 6 of the Govt. decision of 15th May 1894 — Paper no: 7 in the file).

The Dy Com^r says that the Cmdt of the annadan deserves a more severe notice than a mere warning by the Dy Com^r. (Paper no: 8).

Submitted for orders
21
13/4/95.

From The Deputy Commissioner Kolar District

To The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

Dated 12th } March 1895
Despatched 18th }
Received

General No. 3251
No. 1114.

Enclosure No. Vernacular Records

Further remarks with regard to the unauthorised grant to one Narasimhareddi of Survey Nos 2 and 3 of Bellandur Kolamahalli Goribidnur Taluk.

Rec^d 20/3/95

Rep^d 21-3-95

Kolar Deputy Commissioner's Office
Dated the 12th March 1895.

To (61)

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

Adverting to your Docket No: 3455/P.F.129-93 (9)
dated the 21st August 1894 calling for Amilda
-rized grant to one Narasimhareddi of Survey
Nos. 2 and 3 of Becharak Kolamahalli in the
Goribidnur Taluk, I have the honor to re-
-submit herewith as per accompanying Feriat
the records connected with the case to which
is attached the Amildar's explanation —
(in English) received with the Chickballapur
Sub Division Officer's Docket No: 298 dated
the 25th Ultimo on the subject and to state
that I am constrained to adhere to the opinion (8)
expressed by me in this Office letter No: 4309/1042
dated the 31st May 1894 as the Amildar
not only exceeded his authority but also
totally ignored the rules in having directed
the Hobli Shekdar in his Takeed No: 43 —
dated 15th June 1892 to make out a Patta
in the names of the Darkhastdar for the
lands in question.

I read the whole of the most important part of the orders carried out by Narasimhareddi in the matter of the grant. How he was enjoined it. I hold we will have to deal with the Amildar

18/4/95

Papers no 13 in the Deputy's file

—2

Drafted 17/8/95
Drafted 18/4

7

2. With reference to the Government decision in the case dated 5th May 1924 will show that the similar conduct has already been held to be dishonourable.

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

[signature]

Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District.

[illegible]

Draft as per orders

Gen. Serial No. 811

189

11

(63)

From

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

L.R. 123-93-13

Dated 22-4-95

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 18076.
No. R.T. 129-94

His No. 3251/1114 dated 12th March 1895 Submitting the explanation of Inamdar Chikkadasa of the Goribidnur Taluk in regard to the unauthorised grant to one Narasimhaiah of Survey Nos 2 & 3 of Bechara Kolamuballi in the Goribidnur Taluk.

With reference to the above, draws the Deputy Commissioner's attention to para 6 of the Government decision communicated

(64)

to him under this Office
No. 20320 dated 21st May
~~27~~ 129-93
1894 and requests that
he will be good enough to
report how he has enforced
the same.

"Borders."

Work
22/4/95
for Assistant Secretary.

Information on this most important point should have been furnished without being asked again for it.

& B

21
19/5/95

recd
20/4/95

657 Gen. 31.] 12

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

OFFICE OF THE
15036
9 MAY 95
R4178
DEWAN OF MYSORE

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar district

To
The Secretary to the Government
Dewan of Mysore.

L.R. 123-93-13

Dated 3rd May. 1895

Despatched

Received

General No. 4209
No. 132.

Enclosure No.

Furnishing further report regarding the unauthorized grant by Chinnaswamy Varnish to one Channiswamy Pattegar of Survey No 223 of Bachahalli Channaiah Topikhanne Patte.

Read 11/5/95

Call for 9/5

Submitted with Memorandum

Submitted for orders
11/5/95.

Read 13/5

Approved and orders passed

Issued 13/5

Issued with Memorandum

Issued 11/5

Issued with Memorandum

Issued 11/5

Issued with Memorandum

Issued 11

(66) Deputy Commissioner's Office
Kolar district.
Dated the 3rd May 1895.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

(11)

Adverting to your docket No: 1876 R.T. 29-94. dated the 25th Ultimo in regard to the unauthorized grant by Amildar Sirsandi to one Narasimhareddi of Sanjepalya and 3 of Beechanahalli Gopatkanur Taluk, I have the honor to state that it has been decided to reserve the land for Government as proposed by the District Forest Officer copy of whose memo on the subject was sent to you in R. this office docket No: 2114/722 dated the 4th March 1894 and the necessary alienation statement already called for from the Sub-Division Officer, Chickballapur will on receipt be duly submitted for sanction of the proposed reservation.

2. Para 6 of the Government decision referred to in your docket under

acknowledgement.

(6?)

acknowledgment did not call for a report on the point therein contained, and it was considered unnecessary to trouble the Government with any intermediate report in the matter which was eventually to be submitted to them for final orders.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

For Deputy Commissioner
Polar district

Trans

C 687 (3) Draft of Letter

L.R. 123-93-124

Read:—

Letter No 4209 dated 1321
3rd May, 1895 from the Deputy Commissioner of the Naini District, furnishing certain information regarding the unauthorised grant by Ambika Nanaik to one Narasimha Rathi, Survey No 243 of Seemadhi Kaivarthakudi Village in the Cuddalore Taluk.

Order thereon. No 20938/2981 9/4.5.95

Re further report promised in the above regarding the reservation for Forest purposes, of the lands referred to in correct.

2. The communication

the deputy commissioner dated 7th March 1894, No 3047/722, referred to by him, only serving to notice that the forest officer brought it's the matter and does not report the decision or the recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner in explicit or even doubtful terms.

3. When the Government expressed their doubt of the Deputy Commissioner's views in their decision of May 1894, the Deputy Commissioner need not have referred this their report of March 1894 which is not, as already stated, decisive.

14/5/95

Dr Chesfield

14/5/95

13/5/95

to Deputy Commissioner Kolaba District.

(20)

Gen. 31.]

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
DEWAN'S OFFICE
31 DEC. 95

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

To Revenue
The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore.

Dated 15th
Despatched 20th } December 1895.
Received

General No. 2263
No. 596

Enclosure No. 1

Government Proceedings No. 2093a / R. 238 dated the 7th May 1895 calling for further report regarding the reservation for Forest purposes of the lands Survey Nos. 2 and 3 of Beehanahalli Kolamanahalli village, Goribidnur Taluk.

With reference to the above, have the honour to submit herewith for sanction a statement showing the

---

Rev-Cons

R M

L.C.S. — Wallace

R7 12898 = Jan 1896

9/1

L.R. 123-93-15

Subt for orders.

The Govt have often expressed their unwillingness to extend plantations. This applcn for a Sandal reserve has not come thro' the Conr of Forests. It is not known whether the proposed reserve adjoins any State Forest

M
15/1/96

cs
15/1.

(1) Where is this? Is it near the Mysore Frontier? Not mentioned — Must ask Conr of Forests

cs 16/1 Lab with Ref 21/1/96

the lands proposed to be alienated for Canada Colonization by the Crown Lands Department.

(91)

Respectfully submitted,
Chief Astronomer.
Ottawa

(92)

Statement showing State Lands proposed

District.	Taluk.	Magani.	Village, Estate or Township.	As per Village accounts.				Parti.
				Survey No.	Area in acres.	Assessment.	Occupied or waste as per Village Form I Khetwar patarak.	In what Survey No.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Kolar	Gowribidanur	Parinamkanipalya.	Kolimihalli Beneramakk Village	92	A. G. Rs. P.			
				2	48-38	37-4-4	Government unoccupied Waste.	2
				3	79-37	20-4-4		3
					228-35	57-4-4		

to be alienated in Settled Taluk.

culars of grant.				For sanction.		
Extent of land.	Assessment.	Class of alienation or grant to private individuals compensated or party in whose name alienated.	Whether old village site is to be assessed a new site being granted or whether the new grant is only to extend old village site.	Authority sanctioning alienation.	No. and date of order.	REMARKS.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
A. G.	Rs. A. P.					
228-35	57-4-4	Proposed to be reserved for Sandal Forest Department.				It is proposed to reserve the Survey Nos. 2 and 3 attached to the Bachenahalli Village of Kotinimakki for Sandal propagation by the Forest Department as already recommended to Government in this office letter No. 4209/1321 dated 3rd May 1875 and it is therefore requisite that the assessment thereon viz: Rs 57-4-4 may be permanently remitted.
79-37	20-4-4					
228-35	57-4-4					

Mysore Government Central Press, Bangalore.—28-4-92.—500.

Asst Commissioner
Kolar District.

Survey No 2 of Holenarsihally & of Beekanak Village in the Goribidnur Taluk.

(22)

Gen. 30.]

189

From The Revenue Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

To The Dy. Commr Kolar Dist

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No. 595 d 27.3.96

No. H. 595 d 27.3.96

Enclosure No.

No 8854 / H: 595 d 27.3.96

Sir

I have the honor to forward herewith for reference & early return the accompanying list of papers relating to the proposed resumption of the unassessed waste land for a Canal Plantation from the Channarayapatna Tank and to request that the Commissioner will be good enough to move the Local Authorities to report whether the land is required for the purpose

Sir Lewis Rice's No 2243 / 570 / dated 5th December 1895 submitting an alienation statement of land assessed for inundation purposes in Holenarsihally, Goribidnur Taluk.

I have the honor to be, with the request that the Commissioner will be good enough to submit the above papers, through the Government of Mysore, with a report on a matter of this kind would be very

For Letter
Please put back in ...

Ref. Ces. portage

Encl

(16) 1896

From

The Conservator of Forests
in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore
Genl. and Rev: depts.

No 3534 & 333 Dated 23rd April 96
2 files &c.

Subject-

His docket-No 8854/71.595 dated
27-3-96.

Recommends for reasons given the alienation of Survey nos 2 and 3 of Kolemanahalli Bechirakh village in the Gowribidnur Taluk.

Rec
23-4-96
R R (accepted)
23/4/96

Encl to 29 (cancelled with a draft. Reason del. letter Nos 12 & 15-
4
6/5/96

The conservator R.R. 123-93-19
writes that it may be eventually added on to the State Forest. What does this mean? Does it mean that the land now occupied be alienated in the meanwhile? Will it merely be a Forest Ask for further information after the Forest Dept. of Work ends

8/5/96

Enquired 9-5-96

(23)

useful to Govt.

The allocation statement
and ~~the~~ ~~Schedules~~
~~have~~ ~~and~~ herewith
returned.

and schedules
as recommended
by the Board
the allocation
of the land for
that purpose
is approved

J. C

Secretary.

27/3/96

26/3/96

a

cf

(P 37)

Gen. 30.] Dec 1896 189 (15)

From The Revenue Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

To The Conservator of Forests in Mysore

Dated 27-3-96

Despatched 31

Received

General No. 8854

FL No. 595

Enclosure No. 2 files & 1

L.R. 123-93-16

In forwarding for reference and obtaining return the accompanying file of papers relating to the proposed reservation of the marginally noted land for a sandal-plantation, has the honor to request that the Conservator will be good enough to report whether

Ordered as in the Revenue Secretary's
to the Conservator of Forests
view of proposed reservation
[illegible]

whether such appropriation (94)
is desirable and whether
as recommended by the
Deputy Commissioner,
the alienation of the land
for that purpose is
necessary

"By Order"

Sd/ A. Srinivasaraghava
Secretary.

"Copy"

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Office of the Conservator of
Forests in Mysore
Dated, Bangalore 23rd April 1896.

No. 3534/333 & 2 files

From,
The Conservator of Forests
in Mysore.

To,
The Secretary,
to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue
Departments.

Sir,

In reply to your docket
Serial No. 15
No. 8854/595 dated 27th ultimo (enclosure
returned herewith), I have the honor
to state that whilst I am by no
means in favor of the extension
of "regular plantations" except
under special conditions and well
matured

matured plans the circumstances of the land in question appear to render it advisable to reserve it in view to its being eventually incorporated in the state forest which it adjoins.

2. I, therefore, support the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation that it ~~will~~ be "alienated with that object.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
Hamphrey Walker Colonel
Conservator of Forests.

Draft for Approval

Read:-

Correspondence ending with Conservator's D.O. No. 2263/596 dated 5th December, 1896 from the Asst. Commr., Kalahandi regarding the reservation of forest jungle, i.e. area No. 2 and 3 of Revenue Khalisa Mauzas Kaibid-mundaili village Koraibid-mun Taluk.

(2) Letter No. 3534-333 dated 23rd April 1896 from the Conservator of Forests in Mayurbhanj recommending the alienation in favour of the Forest Department of the area under reference.

Orders thereon.

Under the circumstances

Notification

L.R- 123-93-18

Copy to be filed in the Survey Office

Copy to the Conservator of (T.P.)
Forest Circle

Forest the accounts

for (x) imposed on them
on the 2nd Sept. 95-96

Money to be made done
to be

Applied in the Forest
Dept.

Cheque, the amount
off the accounts.

The Forest Head Clerk is directed
the appropriation of
the marginally noted
receipt for ~~Sept~~ realisation into the Imperial
State Forest, ~~Sept~~

(x) Be it made Good Survey Fees

Survey No.	Extent	Assessment
	a. g.	Rs. as.
2	148-38	87
3.	79-37	20
Total	228-35	57

2/5/96 Secretary
H.H.

1/5/96

The Conservator of Forests.

The Dy. Commr. Malnad

The Asst. Commr.

with copy of Statement.

incorporated in Block No: IV of
the Narasimhadevarabetta fore
to be worked for full supply an
that till then they will continue
as part of the District Forest.

2. It is not intended to
start in any "regular plantati
on the site. The addition will be
made when Government Sanc-
-tion is accorded.

Humphreythen Colonel

Conservator of Forests.

Copy

(General Serial No. 21.)

From

To

Dated 18th

Despatched 22 May

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 10733

No. 76.088

L.R. 123-93-19

as a distinct forest

S.O.

Off. Sandy.

(8)

Kat de, Tamarind
unit de good enough
to [illegible] is
[illegible] to [illegible] trop
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

(847) Gen. 31.]

1896

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

To The Conservator of Forests
in Mysore.

Dated 5th }
Despatched 6th } June 1896.
Received

General No. 569
No.

Enclosure No.

His memo no: 3964/233 dated 2nd instt
relative, forwarding for report
copy of Government Secretary's
docket no: 1388/688 dated 22nd idem

With reference to the above
has the honor to state that the
lands referred to are proposed to
be incorporated in Block No. 1 of
Narasimharaya betta Forest
which is under reservation.
The Amildar of Bowringpet
has been asked to submit
the alienation statement for
on receipt will be forwarded.
The lands when added to the

(85) The Forest will be worked for fuel.
It is not intended to change
"regular Plantation" on the title.
It will continue to be a
District Forest till it is
incorporated in the Reserve
in due course.

/sd/ B. Ramanathan
for Secy: Department Commissioner
Forn.

Copy.

Approved
for Conservator of Forests

(82) No 3778

Gen. 31.] 18

189 6.

Ft 9308
700
13.6.96

Rec'd 12/6
7035
21/6

From the Conservator of Forests in Mysore.

To the Secretary to the Government of Mysore General & Revenue Depttt.

Dated
Despatched } 11th June 1896.
Received

General No. 4235
No. 385

Enclosure No. one

His docket no: 10133/Ft.686 dated 18/22nd May 1896.

In reply has the honor to forward for information copy of docket no: 569 of 5-6th instant from the Deputy Commissioner Kolar, reporting that Survey nos: 2 and 3 of the Bechrak kolemane halli village in the Goribidnur Taluk are proposed to be incorporated

Link with note in continuation

to Secty 27/6/96

21/6/96 25/6/96

L.R.123-93-20